

Forest Heath District Council

Cabinet Decisions Notice (Published: Thursday 21 July 2016)

The following decisions were taken by the Cabinet on **Tuesday 19 July 2016** and, if not called in by Councillors, will come into operation on Friday 29 July 2016. This procedure does not however, apply to decisions that have been recommended to Council for a final decision (and which are also indicated within the decisions below). An executive decision may be called in, in accordance with the Overview and Scrutiny Committee Procedure Rules contained within Part 4 of the Council's Constitution, by at least five Councillors submitting the required call-in request form to the Head of HR, Legal and Democratic Services (e-mail: democratic.services@westsuffolk.gov.uk) by 5.00 pm on Thursday 28 July 2016.

Should you have a query regarding any of the decisions taken, contact should be made with the named officer in the first instance, either on the telephone number listed against their name, or via email using the format firstname.surname@westsuffolk.gov.uk. Alternatively, you may also contact the relevant Portfolio Holder on the telephone number listed against their name, or via email using the format firstname.surname@forest-heath.gov.uk. Contact may also be made via Democratic Services, Forest Heath District Council, District Offices, College Heath Road, Mildenhall, Suffolk, IP28 7EY

Agenda Item and Report No.	Declarations of Interest/ Dispensations Granted	Decision(s) (including recommendations to Council)	Reason(s) for Decision(s)	Other Options Considered and Reasons for Rejection	Contacts
Item No. 4 CAB/FH/16/029	None	West Suffolk: Promoting Physical Activity RESOLVED: That the West Suffolk: Promoting Physical Activity Framework, as set out in Appendix A to Report No CAB/FH/16/029, be approved.	The West Suffolk: Promoting Physical Activity Framework document set out a framework to enable and encourage people to lead active lives and increase activity levels across West Suffolk. This would lead to the development of area plans which would help identify gaps and prioritise actions in line with the Council and its partners, priorities to increase opportunities for people to take part in physical activity.	Through this document, the West Suffolk Councils were setting out their commitment to encouraging and enabling people to lead active lives. The benefits of this would create improved health and wellbeing outcomes for the community. The	Portfolio Holder: Cllr Andy Drummond 01638 751411 Lead Officer: Jill Korwin Director 01284 757252

Agenda Item and Report No.	Declarations of Interest/ Dispensations Granted	Decision(s) (including recommendations to Council)	Reason(s) for Decision(s)	Other Options Considered and Reasons for Rejection	Contacts
				Councils were also setting out their intention to create an Open Space, Sport and Recreation Supplementary Planning Document for West Suffolk.	
				The alterative was to do nothing and to continue to make decisions on a case-by-case basis, with no overarching framework which could result in poorer health and wellbeing outcomes for local people.	
Item No. 5 CAB/FH/16/030	None	Review of the Terms of Reference of the Joint Member Development Group	Members were working with change on a significant scale, with impending and current Council challenges likely to	An alternative option would be for the review of the Terms of	Portfolio Holder: Cllr Stephen Edwards 01638 660518
		RESOLVED: That the Joint Member Development Group reviews	include understanding the impact of the East of England devolution package, including the most appropriate delivery	Reference to not be undertaken and for the Group to become	<u>Lead Officer:</u> Karen Points Head of HR,

Agenda Item and Report No.	Declarations of Interest/ Dispensations Granted	Decision(s) (including recommendations to Council)	Reason(s) for Decision(s)	Other Options Considered and Reasons for Rejection	Contacts
		their Terms of Reference and programme to reflect the evolving role of members as Leaders in challenging and changing times, based on the structure outlined within Report No CAB/FH/16/030.	model for local governance across West Suffolk, working across the wider Suffolk system with budgets and partner organisations to deliver services in a joined-up way and coherent strategy, whilst working as effective community politicians with local communities. The Joint Member Development Group had provided a programme developed, in part, from a training needs analysis completed annually that aimed to equip and develop member for their roles. The Learning and Development Team had also considered the evolving needs of the Members following the 2014/2015 programme, whilst considering the views and attendance of members.	complacent and not provide an effective learning and development support mechanism for members.	Legal and Democratic Services 01284 757015
Item No. 6 CAB/FH/16/031	None	A11 Growth Corridor - Feasibility Study RESOLVED:	The concept of the A11 Technology Corridor provided a framework to re-align and enable more effective	The alternative options were: - To ignore the	Portfolio Holder: Cllr Lance Stanbury 07970 947704
(with Exempt Appendix 2)		That:-	partnerships under the 'Duty to Co-operate'. The geography of the Corridor incorporated a	findings of the study and do nothing and to	Lead Officer: Steven Wood

Agenda Item and Report No.	Declarations of Interest/ Dispensations Granted	Decision(s) (including recommendations to Council)	Reason(s) for Decision(s)	Other Options Considered and Reasons for Rejection	Contacts
		 The work undertaken to-date to develop an A11 Growth Corridor project, be noted. The key findings of the Feasibility Study be endorsed. Authority be delegated to the Head of Planning and Growth, in consultation with the Portfolio Holder for Planning and Growth, to enter into legal agreements to establish suitable governance for the project and to with Partners to bring the project forward. 	number of District Council areas, the counties of Norfolk and Suffolk and two LEP areas, making it an ideal initiative to enhance collaboration for effective economic growth and infrastructure and site development. In addition, the Corridor had the potential to build on and benefit from, discussions on devolution, as there was likely to be scope to better realign service delivery including business support and engagement active activity, as well as inward investment promotion on alternative geographic levels.	allow the sites to come forward naturally, as and when the market developed. This option was not recommended as other areas in the UK were either already developing similar projects (eg the London Stansted Cambridge Corridor or were looking to do so in the near future). - Accept the findings of the Study but not look to develop in partnership with other local authorities (eg utilise the Council's own resources and work within those limits	Head of Planning and Growth 01284 757303

Agenda Item and Report No.	Declarations of Interest/ Dispensations Granted	Decision(s) (including recommendations to Council)	Reason(s) for Decision(s)	Other Options Considered and Reasons for Rejection	Contacts
				independently to develop its own sites). This option was not recommended as it did not take advantage of the added value and potential shared costs of collaborating with Partners to deliver outcomes that would benefit all Partners and raise the profile of the Corridor by working together.	

Karen Points Head of HR, Legal and Democratic Services 21 July 2016